TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

March 31, 2004 LB 1090

the judge's final decision is before we tack on something permanent in order to be prepared for it. I am not in favor of borrowing these funds because what if we have another 9/11? What if some other moron with an airplane goes into a skyscraper and our whole economy tanks and we're left with these bonds hanging out there? Do we want to pay 5, 6 bucks a gallon for gas? I mean, I don't know. What if he kills everybody except one truck driver in Nebraska? He can pay the whole \$150 million himself, I suppose. But that's the way bonds work, too. Bonds do have an obligation with them. So, you know, we can't take this lightly, and it's a little bit early. I'm not say that if my back was against the wall at some point in the future when the judge had given the final judgment and we had no credit for even offering a...

SENATOR KREMER: One minute.

SENATOR COMBS: ...potential site, that I would not support it. However, I think it is early and I applaud him for his foresight and all the work and effort that he's put into it. And perhaps at some future point if, you know, if we're driven in that direction, I may drive there. But at this point, I'm in favor of sales or income, very, very minimal, if we do something about some of the places that could possibly reduce that have not. Thank you, and thank you, Senator Schimek. I'll return the time back to her.

SENATOR KREMER: Thank you, Senator Combs. Did...Senator Schimek waives off. Senator Cudaback, you're recognized to speak.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Mr. President and members, I've been waiting to talk all day here. Now that I've got the mike, I don't know what to say. That's probably normal. Might as well save my breath to cool my oatmeal, but that's what good it does here. But I guess we all have an idea on this issue, and it proves it by the number of people that want to talk. I don't know if we want to talk just to hear ourselves talk, we want to talk because we want our constituents to know how we're thinking, or if we talk to solve their problem; probably a little bit of all three. There probably is no wrong way to do this. Senator